Appl., No. 10/731,707 Amdt. Dated November 8, 2006 Reply to Office action of August 21, 2006

REMARKS

This amendment and response is being submitted to respond to the rejection issued by the USPTO on August 21, 2006. Claims 1 and 10 were amended to conform to the elected subject matter. Claims 6, 7, 12-15 and 17-19 were cancelled in light of the restriction requirement and to place the case in condition for allowance. Typographical errors were corrected in claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 10. Claim 15 which was rejected under 35 USC 112 was cancelled, thus rendering the rejection moot. It is respectfully submitted the case is now in condition for allowance. If the USPTO feels that further amendments are required to place the case in condition for allowance, the undersigned invites a phone call to discuss any such amendment.

Date:

of Michael Dixon, Reg. No. 32,410 Warner-Lambert Company 2800 Plymouth Road

Ann Arbor, MI 48105 Tel: (734) 622-1705 Fax:(734) 622-1553 Customer No. 28880